21st January 1961]

Arts College for Women at Salem

* 3 *Q.*—SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether any representation has been received from any public body in Salem to start a separate Arts College for Women; and

(*b*) if so, the action taken or proposed to be taken thereon?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM: (*a*) Yes, Sir, from the Salem District Board Advisory Committee.

(*b*) Government did not accept the proposal.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : May I know whether the present Municipal College is a co-educational institution?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Yes, it is.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : May I know the number of girl students in that college?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Sir, that is completely outside the scope of the main question.

Surplus income secondary schools

* 4 *Q.*—SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of surplus income secondary schools in the State; and

(*b*) the rules in force for the utilization of such surplus?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : (*a*) The particulars asked for by the hon. Member are not readily available. The Government consider that the time and labour involved in gathering the particulars will not be commensurate with the object in view.

(*b*) Under rule 32 (iii) of the Grant-in-aid Code, managements of schools can utilize the surplus funds for effecting improvements to the schools, with the approval of the Director of Public Instruction.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : May I know whether there are any proposals to lay down any specific rules as to how much of this excess amount could be used and how that should be used?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Not to my knowledge.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Inasmuch as this surplus can be due only to overcrowding admissions or the levy of high rates of fees, will the Government consider the desirability of regulating these things?

[21st January 1961

MR. CHAIRMAN : I must tell the hon. Member, as I have told him before, that he should put a supplementary question and not suggest action to be taken. The hon. Member must put everything in the form of a question.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : It is only a question.

MR. CHAIRMAN : No, it is not a question.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : It is a suggestion for action.

State Seed Farms

* 5 *Q*.—SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Home be pleased to state the number of State Seed Farms as on 1st April 1960?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : One hundred and thirty State Seed Farms.

SRI T. P. SRINIVASAVARADAN : May I know whether the seed farms are working on a profit?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : I have got certain particulars regarding the working of these seed farms for the half-year commencing from the 1st of April 1960. This shows that the non-recurring expenditure amounts to Rs. 2,63,394·61 during the half-year, recurring expenditure amounts to Rs. 6,94,274·71. The income during the half-year amounted to Rs. 6,25,168·10. Further there is a sum of Rs. 1,15,357·77 being the value of seeds distributed which remains to be adjusted in the accounts. This is the revenue during the half-year ending 30th September 1960 which will be in the order of Rs. 7,40,525·87.

Re-employment of Heads of Departments

* 6 *Q*.—DR. A. SREENIVASAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of Heads of Departments re-employed after superannuation or the contract period during 1959–60; and

(*b*) the reasons for such re-employment?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : (*a*) Five.

(*b*) Re-employment was sanctioned for administrative reasons and in the exigencies of public service.

DR. A. SREENIVASAN : Is it not a fact, Sir, that some time ago, the Hon. Minister for Home in replying to another question said that the question of re-employment would be considered only in the case of technical men and not administrative personnel or officers?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Yes, generally that is so Sir.